

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/995,882 | 11/28/2001 | John H. Hales | 2001-IP-00579 UI USA | 1615 | | |
| 20558 | 7590 12/12/2003 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| KONNEKER & SMITH P. C. | | | NEUDER, WILLIAM P | | | |
| 660 NORTH SUITE 230 | CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| PLANO, TX | 75074 | | 3672 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | -c | | | | 1/ | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | - | 1 | | Application No. | | plicant(s) | | |
| • | | | | 09/995,882 | | HALES ET AL. | | |
| *** | Offic | Action Summary | Ī | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | | | William P Neuder | | 3672 | | |
| Period fo | | ING DATE of this commu | inication appea | rs on the cover s | heet with the c | rrespondence ad | ldress | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any | MAILING Densions of time real of the result of the reply of the reply of the reply ure to reply within reply received by | STATUTORY PERIOD DATE OF THIS COMMUI nay be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this correspecified above is less than thirty is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(annunication. (30) days, a reply wistatutory period will oly will, by statute, ca | a). In no event, howeve thin the statutory minim apply and will expire SIX use the application to be | r, may a reply be tim um of thirty (30) days ((6) MONTHS from o ecome ABANDONE | rely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | |
| 1) | Responsiv | ve to communication(s) f | iled on | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action | n is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This ac | tion is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | | application is in conditio | | | | | e merits is | |
| Disposit | ion of Clai | ms | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1 | -17,24-37,39-49,51 and | <i>53-74</i> is/are p | ending in the app | olication. | | | |
| ,— | | above claim(s) is. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-9,24-37,41-49 and 53-59</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>10,11,13,39,40,51,60,64 and 70</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>12,17,61-63,68,69 and 71-74</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) _ | are subject to rest | riction and/or e | election requirem | ent. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | 5 | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specif | ication is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing | ng(s) filed on is/ar | re: a) 🔲 accep | ted or b)□ objec | cted to by the E | Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant n | nay not request that any ob | jection to the dra | awing(s) be held in | abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| | • | ent drawing sheet(s) includi | - | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath o | r declaration is objected | to by the Exar | niner. Note the a | ttached Office | Action or form P | ΓO-152. | |
| Priority | under 35 L | I.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| *; 13) | All b) \[\] 1. \[\] Cer 2. \[\] Cop app See the atta Acknowledge since a speces 7 CFR 1.7 a) \[\] The transfer The transfer CFR the content of the conte | dgment is made of a clair Some * c) None of tified copies of the prioritified copies of the prioritified copies of the prioritified copies of the certified copies dication from the International decided detailed Office action and the first seems included in the first seems in the | ty documents I ty documents I ty documents I es of the priority tional Bureau (tion for a list of n for domestic ded in the first anguage provi | nave been received ave been received ave been received average and received average av | ed. ed in Applicati e been receive)). ies not receive U.S.C. § 119(e specification or n has been rec U.S.C. §§ 120 | on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since | al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific | |
| Attachmer | | and Cited (RTO 902) | | 4) ☐ In | taniaw Summan | (PTO-413) Paper No | (e) | |
| 2) Noti | ce of Draftspe | ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | | otice of Informal P | atent Application (PT | | |

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10,11,13,39,40,51,60,64 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacLeod.

MacLeod discloses an apparatus and method for transmitting signals to and from a downhole sensor 176,178 to an above ground controller or receiver 252. The sensors sense a downhole parameter. A downhole transmitter sends electromagnetic waves through the ground to the above ground receiver. The tool is lowered into the ground and signals are sent from the sensors to the above ground receiver. The sensed signals are then interpreted and a command signal is sent to the downhole tool to control or actuate the tool. As to claims 11,60 and 70, the tool is a mechanical tool. As to claims 13 and 40, the tool is on a drill string. As to claim 64, a transmitter is provided to propagate electromagnetic waves.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 14-16 and 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacLeod.

The frequency and the type of wave generated (square or sinoidal) would have been considered obvious design choice since any wave form and frequency could be randomly selected by the operator.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12,17,61-63,68,69 and 71-74 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-9,24-37,41-49 and 53-59 are allowed.



Application/Control Number: 09/995,882

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P Neuder whose telephone number is 703-308-2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

William P Neuder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

ander no

W.P.N.